Research Article

Open Access

Text-Mining of Online Discourse to Characterize the Nature of Pain in Low Back Pain

Young Uk Ryu, PhD, PT[†]

Department of Physical Therapy, Daegu Catholic University

Received: July 6, 2019 / Revised: July 14, 2019 / Accepted: August 12, 2019 \odot 2019 J Korean Soc Phys Med

| Abstract |

PURPOSE: Text-mining has been shown to be useful for understanding the clinical characteristics and patients' concerns regarding a specific disease. Low back pain (LBP) is the most common disease in modern society and has a wide variety of causes and symptoms. On the other hand, it is difficult to understand the clinical characteristics and the needs as well as demands of patients with LBP because of the various clinical characteristics. This study examined online texts on LBP to determine of text-mining can help better understand general characteristics of LBP and its specific elements.

METHODS: Online data from www.spine-health.com were used for text-mining. Keyword frequency analysis was performed first on the complete text of postings (full-text analysis). Only the sentences containing the highest frequency word, pain, were selected. Next, texts including the sentences were used to re-analyze the keyword frequency (pain-text analysis).

RESULTS: Keyword frequency analysis showed that pain

†Corresponding Author : Young Uk Ryu

is of utmost concern. Full-text analysis was dominated by structural, pathological, and therapeutic words, whereas pain-text analysis was related mainly to the location and quality of the pain.

CONCLUSION: The present study indicated that text-mining for a specific element (keyword) of a particular disease could enhance the understanding of the specific aspect of the disease. This suggests that a consideration of the text source is required when interpreting the results. Clinically, the present results suggest that clinicians pay more attention to the pain a patient is experiencing, and provide information based on medical knowledge.

Key Words: Text-mining, Low Back Pain, Pain, Patient Web Portal

I. Introduction

An increasing numbers of people are posting and sharing information about health via the internet. Articles written by professionals and patients are also being produced continuously [1]. More material is being added by blogs, message boards, and social network services (SNS), and the amount of information produced through these and other media is increasingly vast [2,3].

'Text-mining' is a method to analyze the massive amount of information and is used to classify and organize large amounts of text to extract common themes as well as lexical,

ryuyounguk@gmail.com, http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1601-4477 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

phrasal, or sentential tendencies [4]. Recently, the textmining method has spread to health-related issues, where it is currently used widely [5,6]. Recent research has shown that an analysis of the keywords of related texts posted by people online can be used effectively to detect health-related issues [7,8]. Text-mining has also been shown to be useful in understanding the clinical characteristics and patients' concerns regarding a specific disease [9-11].

Park and Ryu [10] used text-mining to analyze 399 samples of memoirs about fibromyalgia that had been posted on the internet. The clinical features of fibromyalgia and the patients' interests were found in the keywords. If text-mining analysis of the full text of postings is useful, such analysis may also be effective for certain elements or characteristics belonging to a specific disease. For example, 'phrase net' analysis shows the link status of a keyword and can demonstrate a connection relation of words that appear with the specific keyword [10,12]. Through this type of analysis, it is possible to identify the words used in a sentence with a specific keyword. On the other hand, this analysis is limited in that it can ignore various words or the importance (frequency) of words that are used with a specific keyword.

This study examined online texts on low back pain (LBP). LBP is one of the most common symptoms in modern society [13], and a huge number of texts left by people with LBP are available online. The causes of LBP are very diverse, and multiple causes may be present [13]. Acute LBP is often caused by sprains of muscles and ligaments [14]. In the case of chronic LBP, herniation, spinal stenosis, zygapophyseal (facet) joint syndrome, and sacroiliac joint dysfunction are known to be major causes; many other causes are also known [15]. For example, nerve compression may be the cause if radicular leg pain is seen in the lower extremities [16].

LBP is the most common disease in modern society with a wide variety of causes and symptoms. The clinical characteristics of LBP and the needs and demands of patients with LBP are difficult to understand because of the various clinical characteristics. General studies have many practical problems in helping to understand LBP and patient with LBP. In particular, the time and expense of interviewing or surveying patients with LBP is enormous. In addition, because it is difficult to target many people, there are restrictions on generalization. As reviewed previously, the analysis of vast amounts of data online can be useful to generalize the characteristics of a disease and the concerns of patients. Therefore, this study examined whether text-mining is effective in helping to better understand the general characteristics of LBP and its specific element.

II. Methods

The online forum data from www.spine-health.com was used. According to alexa.com (http://www.alexa.com/ siteinfo/spine-health.com), as of September 11, 2018, this forum was a popular spine health-related website with a global ranking of 15,753 (6,009 in the US). The site is largely composed of content that communicates information on the spine's health and forums where information is exchanged by affected patients. The key search words to find this site include lower back pain (1.55%), sciatica (1.31%), sciatic nerve (1.28%), chiropractor (1.03%), and piriformis syndrome (0.74%). Regarding the demographics of the participants of this site, most were from the United States (51.4%), India (6.9%), United Kingdom (5.4%), Canada (4.2%), and Australia (4.2%).

To obtain the natural language data from the forum, the unstructured data of the postings were first collected through a crawling process. The text data of this study were collected from the forum under the title "lower back pain" (https://www.spine-health.com/forum/categories/lowerback-pain?utm_medium=web&utm_source=sites&utm_ca mpaign=nav). Discussions from the first discussion (June 30, 2008) until December 31, 2017, were collected. In a discussion, if one speaker makes a post, other people can reply with comments to that post. On the other hand, comments were not used in this study, and only the main text of the discussion was extracted. A JSOUP (an open-source Java library) web crawler, which was developed to obtain language data from webpages that use programming languages such as Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) and Java Server Pages (JSP), was used to retrieve discussions from the target forum automatically. The retrieved data were saved into a text file to produce a structured and analyzable dataset. A total of 5,060 discussions were collected.

Next, information, such as keyword lists and word frequency lists, were generated using R, a free software available on the internet that is often used by corpus linguists and social scientists for statistical computing and graphics. A dataset was also made by categorizing the words of the text file into nouns and adjectives using a Stanford Part-Of-Speech Tagger, which assigns part-of-speech tags to individual words. The unnecessary and functional words, such as articles (e.g., 'a', 'the'), conjunctions ('and', 'but', etc.), and pronouns ('I', 'you', etc.) were then eliminated. Number-related words (e.g., 'one', 'two', etc.), calendar-related words (e.g., 'day', 'week', 'month', 'January', 'February', etc.), and common people-related words (e.g., 'anyone', 'everyone', etc.) were also eliminated. After these processes, 550,533 remaining words had LBP-relevant data.

III. Results

Table 1 lists the top 100 words appearing in the online forum based on the keyword frequency (nouns and adjectives). According to the analysis, 'pain' was the most frequently posted word. Pain is the main complaint of LBP patients and is a defining symptom of the disease. This means that pain is the most distinctive issue, suggesting it is the most important word characterizing LBP. Therefore, understanding how the word 'pain' is used in the postings may provide relevant information for understanding the pain of LBP. For the purpose of this study, only sentences containing the word 'pain' were extracted from the whole text data; the frequency of the keywords from those sentences was analyzed (pain-text analysis). A total of 164,740 words were obtained from pain-text analysis. Table 2 lists the top 100 words, and 'pain' is clearly the most frequent word. The two analyses revealed differences in the characteristics of the keywords. These differences represent the most important component of the results of this study. The main results of the two analyses are discussed in the following sections.

1) Full-text analysis is dominated by structural, pathological, and therapeutic words

In both keyword frequency analyses (full- and pain-text), 'back' was the next most-frequent word after 'pain.' 'Back' is used to describe the pain and is part of the name of the disease. 'Lower' was also ranked highly in each analysis (Tables 1, 2), indicating that 'lower back pain' is used mostly as the disease name in posts. These results suggest that users who left posts considered their illness to be a major concern. 'Disc' ranked 3rd in full-text analysis (0.75%), but it was 22nd (0.26%) in pain-text analysis (Tables 1, 2). 'Disc' refers to the disc structure between the vertebral bodies and is also a keyword for disc herniation. Similarly, words for structures such as 'spine' and 'lumbar' appeared more often in full-text analysis than in pain-text analysis (Tables 1, 2). Words related to anatomical structures such as 'spinal', 'nerve', 'facet', 'muscle', 'discs', 'disc', 'bone', and 'epidural' ranked in the top 100 keywords only for full-text analysis (Tables 1, 2). Although the pathology-related words 'herniated' and 'sciatica' were found in both analyses, other pathologyrelated words, such as 'bulge', 'stenosis', 'bulging', 'herniation', and 'injury' were found in the top 100 only after full-text analysis (Tables 1, 2). Words related to

Rnk	Word	Freq	Rnk	Word	Freq	Rnk	Word	Freq	Rnk	Word	Freq
1	Pain	18457	26	Walk	1148	51	Hip	812	75	Sleep	608
2	Back	12609	27	Lumbar	1101	52	Problems	808	77	Bulging	605
3	Disc	4131	28	Good	1099	53	Bed	800	78	Herniation	600
4	Lower	4096	29	Better	1042	54	Doctors	789	79	Doc	588
5	Now	3729	30	Normal	1036	55	Bulge	788	80	Bit	573
6	Surgery	3207	31	Spinal	1025	56	Meds	779	81	Injury	570
7	Mri	3186	32	Problem	1022	57	Low	760	82	Body	568
8	Right	2970	33	Severe	1010	58	Sure	756	83	Disk	563
9	Time	2860	34	Legs	968	59	Stenosis	754	84	Different	552
10	Help	2782	35	Herniated	957	60	Foot	746	85	Couple	545
11	Left	2751	36	Advice	955	61	Symptoms	734	86	Morning	537
12	Doctor	2334	37	Life	935	62	Walking	712	87	Pretty	536
13	Leg	2298	38	Facet	923	63	Joint	711	88	Hard	530
14	Work	1985	39	Next	921	64	Post	688	89	Bone	529
15	Nerve	1787	39	Therapy	921	65	Due	671	90	Treatment	524
16	Last	1762	41	Muscle	907	66	Numbness	666	91	Chronic	523
17	Spine	1695	42	Physical	906	67	Point	664	91	Painful	523
18	Side	1545	43	Injections	905	68	Issue	663	93	Looking	514
19	Worse	1529	44	Feeling	903	69	Past	636	94	Central	513
20	Long	1525	45	Way	888	70	Sciatica	626	95	Home	509
21	Bad	1416	46	Area	884	71	Night	624	96	Working	507
22	Old	1328	47	Surgeon	863	72	Injection	614	97	Narrowing	503
23	Fusion	1311	48	Little	830	73	Cause	610	98	Epidural	501
24	New	1290	49	Level	828	74	Many	609	99	Job	498
25	Mild	1160	49	Relief	828	75	Discs	608	100	Experience	497

Table 1. Rank of Keyword Frequencies Among 550,533 Words in Full-text Analysis. Words with *Italic* font Represent Words found Only in the Top 100 of Full-text Analysis

treatment and diagnosis were also found in both analyses. Words related to therapeutic methods such as 'surgery', 'fusion', 'therapy', and 'injections' were found at a relatively higher rate in full-text analysis compared to pain-text analysis (Tables 1, 2). 'MRI,' a diagnostic method, was also found more frequently in full-text analysis than pain-text analysis (Tables 1, 2).

2) Pain-text analysis was predominantly related to the location and quality of the pain

Both analyses contained words related to body parts as well as the words 'leg,' 'legs,' 'hip,' and 'foot,' all of which occurred at a relatively higher rate in pain-text analysis than in full-text analysis. (Tables 1, 2). In addition, 'knee,' 'feet,' 'butt,' thigh,' 'hips,' buttocks,' and 'buttock' were found only in the top 100 of pain-text analysis (Table 2).

Rnk	Word	Freq	Rnk	Word	Freq	Rnk	Word	Freq	Rnk	Word	Freq
1	Pain	16662	26	Spine	424	51	Point	235	76	Medication	177
2	Back	5595	27	Low	415	52	Level	228	76	Pretty	177
3	Lower	2076	28	Area	383	52	Sleep	228	78	Doc	172
4	Leg	1381	29	Chronic	352	54	Need	227	79	Surgeon	171
5	Now	1365	30	Numbness	346	55	Walking	225	80	Injection	169
6	Left	1166	31	Management	345	56	Morning	217	81	Fusion	168
7	Right	1147	32	Constant	335	57	Good	215	82	Extreme	167
8	Time	848	33	Sharp	328	58	Standing	213	83	Trying	165
9	Worse	695	34	Feeling	325	59	Next	211	84	Hard	163
10	Surgery	686	35	Foot	324	59	Sciatic	211	85	Suffering	162
11	Side	676	36	Relief	320	61	Physical	209	86	Painful	159
12	Doctor	609	37	Old	315	61	Problem	209	87	Butt	158
13	Bad	605	38	Life	312	63	Couple	201	88	Thigh	157
14	Severe	595	39	Pains	307	64	Normal	200	89	Different	155
15	Last	587	40	Muscle	305	65	Therapy	197	90	Excruciating	152
16	Help	574	41	Better	301	66	Burning	193	91	Hips	151
17	Legs	501	42	Sciatica	284	67	Symptoms	191	91	Live	151
18	Nerve	493	43	Little	282	68	Free	189	93	Herniated	150
19	Work	478	44	Cause	277	69	Shooting	188	94	Intense	147
20	Meds	464	45	Bed	271	70	Knee	186	95	Sure	146
21	Walk	457	46	Way	262	71	Bit	183	96	Buttocks	145
22	Long	437	47	New	258	71	Experiencing	183	97	Many	144
23	Hip	434	47	Past	258	73	Joint	181	98	Experience	141
24	Disc	433	49	Night	254	74	Feet	179	99	Issues	140
25	MRI	428	50	Injections	242	75	Lumbar	177	100	Buttock	139

Table 2. Rank of Keyword Frequencies Among 164,740 Words in Pain-text Analysis. Words with *Italic* font Represent Words found Only in the Top 100 of Pain-text Analysis

The words for these body parts correspond to the areas of back pain or where back pain radiates. No words related to head or upper limbs were found in the top 100 of either analysis. Words related to the quality or appearance of pain, such as 'severe', 'numbness', 'chronic', and 'painful' were found in both analyses but were observed at a relatively higher rate in pain-text analysis than in full-text analysis (Tables 1, 2). Other words related to the quality and appearance of pain, such as 'constant', 'sharp', 'burning', 'extreme', 'excruciating', and 'intense' were also found among the top 100 of pain-text analysis (Table 2).

IV. Discussion

This study used a text-mining method to analyze 5,060 samples of discussions of the specific disease LBP that were posted in the forum www.spine-health.com. First, a keyword frequency analysis of the full text of the posts was performed, and the most frequent word was 'pain'. For the main purpose of the study, the same keyword frequency analysis was performed by only extracting the sentences that included the word 'pain'. This section discusses the main results of this study.

First, the specific words for the anatomical structures, pathologies, and pain sites associated with LBP were abundant in both analyses. This suggests that these keywords represent the general clinical features of LBP. Several studies analyzing online illness experiences have already shown this trend [10,11,17,18]. Because illness experiences are disease specific, the keywords extracted from such texts often represent a number of clinical features of the disease.

This study also found, however, that there were fewer keywords indicating the psychological status and social life of LBP patients than indicating the clinical features. Although 'work' and 'life' were observed as keywords, other analyses would be necessary to know how LBP is related to the patients' work and life (analysis beyond the scope of this paper). Park and Ryu [10] analyzed the memoirs of patients with fibromyalgia and found that the most commonly used keywords were not only clinical words but also a number of desires as well as work-, occupation-, and human-related keywords. In contrast, the keywords in the present study were overwhelmingly related to the clinical disease characteristics. This might be because of the characteristics of the websites. The online texts used by Park and Ryu were extracted from sites that share stories or experiences related to a specific topic. In contrast, the postings used in this study were text from a discussion menu that shared questions and information regarding the diseases and symptoms on a site where medical information related to the spine was shared. In addition, as mentioned earlier, the top terms for visiting this site were also clinical words. Therefore, when analyzing text, the nature of an online site where texts are posted should be a factor to consider when interpreting the results [19].

The most important finding of this study was that there were differences in the nature of the keywords found in the full- and pain-text analyses. Keywords related to anatomical structures, pathology, diagnosis, and treatment were relatively more common in full-text analysis, whereas the most-common keywords in pain-text analysis were related to the quality, pattern, and location of pain. Because full-text analysis showed the general features of the disease, it is believed that various but general keywords related to LBP were observed. On the other hand, pain-text analysis provided information on 'pain' as a result of the 'subanalysis' of LBP. These results suggest the possibility of sub-analysis regarding an element (keyword) that may provide information on the desired part of the entire text to be viewed selectively [20].

One thing to mention in pain-text analysis was the keywords regarding the intensity of pain, implying that the pain of those who posted was extreme, intense, and unbearable. In particular, 'excruciating' was the expression of the highest pain level according to the McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ) scale [21]. These observations suggest that patients with severe pain used the website more often than those with mild pain. When interpreting the results of online texts that are not controlled by the users, the main users can be estimated based on the results, which show their interests, indicating the possibility of using such results to provide certain services to them.

The results of this study suggest to clinicians what areas they should be concerned about when dealing with LBP. First, a more attentive approach to the pain a patient is experiencing is needed. The main concern for patients with LBP was pain. In addition, patients who left their own experiences online apparently had unusual pain. These results suggest that clinicians should be more interested in the pain their patients are experiencing and allow them to talk more about their own pain. This study also found that patients with LBP want to ask questions and know about their illness even online, meaning that they are looking for more help. Finally, the results of this study also indicated the information that LBP patients should convey. Keyword analysis showed that the words left by patients were disease-oriented. This suggests that back pain patients want to know more about their clinical situation. That is to say that when we treat patients with are treated LBP in a clinic, it is better to inform them based on medical knowledge.

Although some important results were obtained from this study, there were limitations to this research method. First, the study could not control factors such as the characteristics (age, gender, educational level, social/ occupational status, etc.), disease state (diagnosis, duration of illness, pain level, etc.), and other environmental factors (culture, etc.) of the people who left postings. This inability was attributed to the processing of large amounts of unspecified data, which may be a limit of text-mining techniques that process significant amounts of data [10]. Second, although the data are uncontrolled, the study results can be biased by several factors, such as the nature of the website and the pathological status of the people posting the text. Therefore, it is important to understand the background of the source when analyzing and interpreting uncontrolled text data. Clinically, these results suggest that clinicians should pay more attention to the pain a patient is experiencing, and provide information based on their medical knowledge.

V. Conclusion

The results of this study confirmed that various clinical features related to LBP were well reflected in the keywords. More information on the characteristics of pain in LBP was found when sentences containing the most frequent keyword "pain" were analyzed for their keyword frequency than in full-text analysis. These results showed that text-mining for a specific element (keyword) of a particular disease could identify and enhance the understanding of that factor. In addition, when analyzing text online, the nature of the website where the text was posted can affect the results. This suggests that a consideration of the text source is required when interpreting the results.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by research grants (#20171131) from Daegu Catholic University in 2017.

References

- Mazzoni D, Cicognani E. Sharing experiences and social support requests in an Internet forum for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Health Psychol. 2014; 19(5):689-96.
- [2] Allen C, Vassilev I, Kennedy A, et al. Long-term condition self-management support in online communities: a meta-synthesis of qualitative papers. J Med Internet Res. 2016;18(3):e61.
- [3] Kingod N, Cleal B, Wahlberg A, et al. Online peer-to-peer communities in the daily lives of people with chronic illness: a qualitative systematic review. Qual Health Res. 2017(1);27:89-99.
- [4] Feldman R, Sanger J. The text mining handbook: advanced approaches in analyzing unstructured data. New York (NY): Cambridge University Press. 2007.
- [5] Bellika J, Bravo-Salgado A, Brezovan M, et al. Text mining of web-based medical content (Vol. 1). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG. 2014.
- [6] Dreisbach C, Koleck TA, Bourne PE, et al. systematic review of natural language processing and text mining of symptoms from electronic patient-authored text data. Int J Med Inform. 2019;125:37-46.
- [7] Lu Y, Zhang P, Liu J, et al. Health-related hot topic detection in online communities using text clustering. Plos one. 2013;8:e56221.
- [8] Lazard AJ, Scheinfeld E, Bernhardt JM, et al. Detecting

themes of public concern: a text mining analysis of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Ebola live Twitter chat. Am J Infect Control. 2015;43(3):1109-11.

- [9] Vasconcellos-Silva PR, Carvalho D, Lucena C. Word frequency and content analysis approach to identify demand patterns in a virtual community of carriers of hepatitis C. Interact J Med Res. 2013;2(2):e12.
- [10] Park J, Ryu YU. Online discourse on fibromyalgia: text-mining to identify clinical distinction and patient concerns. Med Sci Monitor. 2014;20:1858-64.
- [11] Matsuda S, Aoki K, Tomizawa S, et al. Analysis of patient narratives in disease blogs on the internet: an exploratory study of social pharmacovigilance. JMIR Pub Health Sur. 2017;3(1):e10.
- [12] Van Eck NJ, Waltman L. Text mining and visualization using VOSviewer. ISSI Newsletter. 2011;7:50-4.
- [13] Maher C, Underwood M, Buchbinder R. Non-specific low back pain. The Lancet. 2017;389(10070):736-47.
- [14] Borenstein DG. Epidemiology, etiology, diagnostic evaluation, and treatment of low back pain. Curr Opin Rheumatology. 2001;13(2):128-34.
- [15] DePalma MJ, Ketchum JM, Saullo T. What is the source

of chronic low back pain and does age play a role? Pain medicine. 2011;12(2):224-33.

- [16] Koes BW, Van Tulder M, Thomas S. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain. Bmj. 2006;332(7555):1430-4.
- [17] Gupta S, MacLean DL, Heer J, et al. Induced lexicosyntactic patterns improve information extraction from online medical forums. J Am Med Inform Assn. 2014;21(5):902-9.
- [18] Sunkureddi P, Gibson D, Doogan S, et al. Using selfreported patient experiences to understand patient burden: learnings from digital patient communities in ankylosing spondylitis. Adv Ther. 2018;35(3):424-37.
- [19] Herring SC. Computer-mediated discourse analysis: An approach to researching online behavior. In: Designing for Virtual Communities in the Service of Learning. New York (NY): Cambridge University Press.
- [20] Tighe PJ, Goldsmith RC, Gravenstein M, et al. The painful tweet: text, sentiment, and community structure analyses of tweets pertaining to pain. J Med Internet Res. 2015;17(4):e84.
- [21] Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: major properties and scoring methods. Pain. 1975;1(3):277-99.